

REMARKS

1. In response to the Office Action mailed March 27, 2008, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration. Claims 1-8 and 10-17 were last presented for examination. Claims 18-75 were previously withdrawn and claims 1-8 and 10-17 were rejected. By the foregoing Amendments, claims 10-12 have been amended, claims 18-75 have been canceled and no claims have been added. Thus, upon entry of this paper, claims 1-8 and 10-17 will remain pending in this application. Of these sixteen (16) claims, one (1) claim (claim 1) is independent.

2. Based on the above Amendments and following Remarks, Applicants respectfully request that all outstanding objections and rejections be reconsidered, and that they be withdrawn.

Election/Restrictions

3. Claims 18-75 were previously withdrawn from consideration because these claims are directed to non-elected subject matter. Applicants have cancelled claims 18-75. As such, Applicants assert that this application is now in condition for allowance.

Claim Objections

4. The Examiner has objected to claim 10 because the term "system" was erroneously omitted. Applicants thank the Examiner for bringing this error to Applicants' attention. The first line of claim 10 has been amended to recite an "electronic system of" rather than "electronic of." As such, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 10 is now in condition for allowance.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph

5. The Examiner has rejected claims 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention because these claims include the trademark/trade name "T²C." (See, Office Action, pgs. 2-3.) Without addressing these rejections, and to facilitate prosecution of the present application, Applicants have amended claims 11 and 12 to remove the "T²C." Applicants have replaced "T²C" with the terms "Inter-Integrated Circuit." Support for these amendments is found in Applicants' originally filed specification at page 7. Applicants submit

that these amendments do not narrow the scope of the claims and no new matter has been added. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 11 and 12 are now in condition for allowance.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 1-8 and 13-17 are allowed.

Dependent Claims

7. The dependent claims incorporate all of the subject matter of their respective independent claims and add additional subject matter which makes them *a fortiori* independently patentable over the art of record. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the outstanding rejections of the dependent claims be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Conclusion

8. In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that this application is now in condition for allowance. A notice to his effect is respectfully requested.

9. Applicants make no admissions by not addressing any outstanding rejections or basis of rejections. Furthermore, Applicants reserve the right to pursue any cancelled claims or other subject matter disclosed in this application in a continuation or divisional application. Thus, cancellations and amendments of above claims, are not to be construed as an admission regarding the patentability of any claims.

Dated: June 27, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael G. Verga/
Michael G. Verga, Esq.
Registration No.: 39,410
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
1875 Eye Street, N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 331-7111 (Tel)
(202) 293-6229 (Fax)
Agent for Applicants